Accreditation Committee Agenda Items 1 and 2 (Call to Order and Roll Call)	Friday, March 08, 2019	1:30 PM
Members Present	Mandy Billings, Kim DesRoches, Cathy Fulke	erson, Scott
	Morrison, Brenda Yenkole, Deborah Alves (I	Recorder)
Members Absent	Darla Dodge, Jayna Conkey	
Guests	Craig Robinson	
Summary of Actions Taken		
Agenda items for next meeting		

Agenda Item 3	Approval of Minutes from May 4, 2018 meeting
Action Taken	Meeting minutes were not available for discussion
Summary of Discussion	Craig informed the group that he has rolled out some new forms to
	the departments to create an easy polling way to get the conversation
	started. He has currently received Continuity of Operations drafts from
	about 50% of the departments, and is waiting to hear from the others. The
	dependency forms, that he set out earlier, are what he needs to complete
	the campus wide plan. However, he can create a continuity plan based on
	information that was gathered under the 2016 plans.
	Mandy asked about the remaining 50% who have not turned in
	their reports. Craig responded by affirming that the policy stating
	departments must create a Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) only
	passed last week, so, until now, he lacked the authority to inform
	departments that they must complete their COOP by a certain date.
	Therefore, he has not been able to go back to every department and push
	to have this finished.
	Mandy asked Craig if the timeline for him to turn in his final report
	for the end of April was enough. Craig felt there would be no delay in
	turning in his report and agreed to have an updated report ready for review
	on April 5, and for discussion on the April 12 meeting.
	Scott had a concern with the word "implement" in the
	recommendation and asked if a gap is found, is implementing these plans
	about finding and closing those gaps? Because implementing these plans
	doesn't happen unless there is a catastrophic event.
	Cathy explained that implementing the plan is in relation to the
	preparation process of putting documents, flash drives, and other related
	material into go bags, with the proper training, and shared communication
	tools, etc., to implement the continuity aspect of the plan as needed.
	Craig confirmed Kathy's explanation and described a drill scenario
	that would reveal how a department implements its plan, a prelude to an
	actual event.

	Kim posed a question, asking whether we need to create a separate
	physical server farm or needed to move our server to the cloud, because
	without it we cannot operate.
	Craig responded by stating that at the point we are unable to
	function and recognize that we cannot implement our plan, then we must
	devolve our plan to BCN.
	Cathy informed the group that our servers were backed up last
	week on a cloud-based service, and if we were to lose our servers, we
	would still have access from the cloud.
	A discussion ensued about the budgetary requests and the process
	in relation to recommendations.
	Mandy and Craig established that the COOP plans do include an
	area for needs assessment, and, although we may make a request for an
	item, we may or may not receive it. Scott stated he believed it was an
	internal process that would probably vetted through Darla's office,
	otherwise if there are gaps identified they should be handled with an
	institution, not advocated from here.
	Craig stated that that the COOP plan is a living document that will
	be consistently updated each time we approach it.
	It was agreed that incorporating the 2016 plan into the updated
	versions would be the best way to meet our deadline for the
	recommendation review.
	Brenda started a discussion about the availability of accessing
	power and Internet for the retrieval of Human Resource or other essential
	department documents. Deborah asked if there was a way that we could
	obtain solar power banks, or even access the solar panels that we already do
	have, to generate power with laptops and printers, even if we do not have
	the access to the Internet. Craig stated that would be a part of the multiyear
	planning and budgeting document, and strategy and budget management
	plan.  Mandy recovered Crain to cond out an undeted request to remind
	Mandy requested Craig to send out an updated request to remind
	others of what is needed.
	The committee agreed that we were in really good shape to address
Assignments/Datastist	this recommendation.
Assignments/Potential	
Agenda Items	
Comments/Information	
Agonda Itom 1	Recommendation 1 and 3
Agenda Item 4 Action Taken	Discussion
Summary of Discussion	(Craig and Deborah left early to attend the bio search committee meeting)
	Cathy was concerned that the outline said, 'current as of April 18'
	Cathy was concerned that the outline said, 'current as of April 18' and down below it said 'current', which left some confusion. Mandy informed the group that these are internal notes, and she is using the

present tense as we update the systems for the draft, showing that they work, but may not be fully in place.

Mandy confirmed, there are no program reviews listed in WestNet, once the review process is complete and all program reviews, and recommendations from PARC for next steps, have been received then they will collectively be placed on WestNet. Some departments are creating an addendum that includes missing information that will be later added to the 2019 Annual Program Review, rather than doing a rewrite. She agreed to place whatever documents that she has on WestNet.

Mandy informed the group of the LEADS Committee approaches to Academic Program Reviews and the student learning assessment, which included the different thoughts and methods on a plan of approach and implementation. Curriculum mapping, although time-consuming, was reported to also be a strong consideration. She also went over the timeline for the curriculum mapping assessment process for 2018 and 2019 and believes we would not get on track for comprehensive course-level annual reporting until spring of 2020.

Mandy continued by stating that the LEADS Committee's has been implementing professional development related to the program review process, reviewing past program reviews, and seeing what recommendations came out of these past program reviews, what were our plans coming out of these, where the plans followed, and are there any gaps that need to be addressed.

The committee discussed course mapping and how the implementation of that would take place, educating adjunct faculty on the method to be used and lining up their courses with the requirements established, then utilizing the resources we have already to make it happen.

## Assignments/Potential Agenda Items

## Comments/Information

Agenda Item 4	Next Steps: Recommendations
Action Taken	Discussion
Summary of Discussion	Recommendation 1, Program review and program learning assessment, was
	the recommendation in which WNC is out of compliance and for which we
	will be visited in the fall.
	Cathy sought clarification on what the LEADS will be reporting

Cathy sought clarification on what the LEADS will be reporting for Annual Program Review Report and Assessment creation and follow-through, the meaning of annual program assessment reports and what will be happening at the end of this year. Mandy responded by stating that their report would be about the overall picture, the big plan moving forward, and not the specifics from each program. She then went on to explain, in detail, exactly what is expected of the leads committee in

generating their report.

	A discussion on how the college will move forward, took place, and the need to have certain departments act on a more discipline level, given there is no one person responsible, a lead, on the reporting level.  Kim suggested that a disciplinary lead is a good idea and should be done on a rotation under the scope of 'other duties as assigned', since it would only require minimal effort.  Recommendation 2, the COOP plan was not included in the original report,
	even though we had a 2016 plan, so it generated a very brief statement listed in the report as a recommendation.
	Brenda suggested that in this current report that we indicate we had a 2016 plan but that it was not included in the previous report, and all we
	need to do is update and implement it. There was a consensus for the information to be included in the addendum.
Assignments/Potential	information to be included in the addendum.
Agenda Items	
Comments/Information	
	1
Agenda Item 6	Old Business
Action Taken	
Summary of Discussion	
Assignments/Potential	
Agenda Items	
Comments/Information	
Agenda Item 7	New Business
Action Taken	
Summary of Discussion	
Assignments/Potential	
Agenda Items	
Agenda Item 8	Public Comment
Action Taken	
Summary of Discussion	
Assignments/Potential	
Agenda Items	
Comments/Information	
Agenda Item 9	Adjournment
Action Taken	The meeting was adjourned at 3:15 p.m.
Summary of Discussion	
<u> </u>	-

Assignments/Potential	
Agenda Items	
Comments/Information	